Received by the Town Clerk: Signed:	Date: JAN 2 8 2022 APPLICATION COVER PAGE	Application complete Narratint 5; te plan dek Application incomplete Site Plan rcc.
•	APPLICATION COVER PAGE	
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date Received by ZBA:	
Name of Applicant and Mailing A	Address: Pac / A: Cor	742
96 South St.	FOX boso MA Telephone Number: 508	02035
Email Address: @ 9 mail , Co.	71 Telephone Number:	-942-1663
	dress (If not Applicant):	
Map and Lot #: 29-	25 10	
Street Address of Subject Proper	25 124 Vineyard Me	labutarms Rd.
Applicant is: <u>OWNEV</u>	(Owner, Tenant, Purchaser, Other)	
Nature of Application (Special P	ermit, Appeal, Variance):	ig/permit
Applicable Section of Zoning By	law: Sec/in Sil Sec	17m 8,5-90 (B)
Date of Denial by Building Inspe (If Applicable):	ector, Zoning Inspector, or Planning	Board 4.2-204 + 11.2-2 Added pu applicant reguest - see attached
	a plan by a registered surveyor show the proposed project, all setback di	ving the total property with
from exterior of wall), at least 2	awings of floor plans that show tota elevations with one showing propos structure please clearly identify pr	sed height to ridge. If the
Description of proposed	project; Please attach a detailed na	rrative.
sections of the application cover	ZBA process attached to this applic page and therefore request a heari	ng before the West I application.
	Signed:	(Suma)
	Title(s):	
Application fee of \$200.00 is rec	mired. Date Paid: 10/14/21	UL # 2753188592

deky

ning Board of Appeals

From:

Paul Connor <paulconnor55@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 21, 2022 12:40 PM

To:

Zoning Board of Appeals

Subject:

Re: West Tisbury ZBA application changes

Hi Pam,

It's my understanding from previous conversations that I do not need a setback relief for the additional shed since it is 120 sf and more than 10 feet from my border. Is this correct? If so I will amend as follows:

Please revise my application for a pool at 124 Vineyard Meadow Farms Rd in West Tisbury to include variances for setbacks that apply under are <u>4.2-2D4</u> Setback for Accessory Structures and Uses and <u>11.2-2</u> Accessory structures on non-conforming lots. Please revise my application for a pool at 124 Vineyard Meadow Farms Rd in West Tisbury to include variances for setbacks that apply under are <u>4.2-2D4</u> Setback for Accessory Structures and Uses and <u>11.2-2</u> Accessory structures on non-conforming lots. Setback relief of 1' is requested on the South side. Setback relief of 3' is requested on the West side.

We are applying for relief for the following reasons:

Due to supply chain issues our pool was supposed to be shipped by September 2021. We had already ordered our 10x12 foot shed to be installed before the pool arrived. The shed is to be used for the pool equipment and pumps. The shed was paid for and built for some time so the shed company had to deliver the shed by November 9th which was their last day for installation in 2021 until the spring. So my decision to locate the shed was calculated with the best knowledge of boundaries and utilities that I had at the time. I could not get a surveyor until recently as they were backed up for at least 3 months. It would have been better to have the pool put in before the shed. The spot we picked for the pool was moved closer to the street so that we could be far enough away from our underground utilities. My pool installer asked that I put it at least 10 feet away from the utilities. The cost to move it was cost prohibitive. Digsafe gave me a location and we located the corner of the pool about 7 feet away from the cable location.

Any more movement of the pool to the north will move it closer to a steeper part of a slope there and require an expensive retaining wall to be built. We are asking for financial relief under the statutes as well.

A backyard location would have been near or on top of our septic system. It also would have actually been in clear view of the Widra house on the North side and also closer to the Wojik house on the South side. For that reason we felt as if a pool in the front yard would be less detrimental to the enjoyment of our neighbors property, who would have been more impacted with a pool in the backyard.

We already put in the shed because we were forced to before the pool arrived. The pool is very late due to supply issues. The shed had to be paid for and installed by November since it was at the supplier lot way too long. The shed with 120 sf in size is already allowed by right so no variance is needed for the shed.

Paul Connor

On Thu, Jan 13, 2022 at 1:05 PM Zoning Board of Appeals < zba@westtisbury-ma.gov> wrote:

